Recombination in the threespine stickleback genome—patterns and consequences
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Abstract

Heterogeneity in recombination rate may strongly influence genome evolution and entail methodological challenges to genomic investigation. Nevertheless, a solid understanding of these issues awaits detailed information across a broad range of taxa. Based on 282 F_2 individuals and 1872 single nucleotide polymorphisms, we characterize recombination in the threespine stickleback fish genome. We find an average genome-wide recombination rate of 3.11 cM/Mb. Crossover frequencies are dramatically elevated in the chromosome peripheries as compared to the centres, and are consistent with one obligate crossover per chromosome (but not chromosome arm). Along the sex chromosome, we show that recombination is restricted to a small pseudoautosomal domain of c. 2 Mb, spanning only 10% of that chromosome. Comparing female to male RAD sequence coverage allows us to identify two discrete levels of degeneration on the Y chromosome, one of these ‘evolutionary strata’ coinciding with a previously inferred inverted region. Using polymorphism data from two young (<10 000 years old) ecologically diverged lake-stream population pairs, we demonstrate that recombination rate correlates with both the magnitude of allele frequency shifts between populations and levels of genetic diversity within populations. These associations reflect genome-wide heterogeneity in the influence of selection on linked sites. We further find a strong relationship between recombination rate and GC content, possibly driven by GC-biased gene conversion. Overall, we highlight that heterogeneity in recombination rate has profound consequences on genome evolution and deserves wider recognition in marker-based genomic analyses.
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when located in low-recombination regions. As a consequence, hitchhiking under positive and background selection is predicted to increase allele frequency shifts between populations, and to reduce genetic diversity within populations, in low-relative to high-recombination genomic regions (Maynard Smith & Haigh 1974; Kaplan et al. 1989; Begun & Aquadro 1992; Nordborg et al. 1996; Charlesworth et al. 1997; Charlesworth 1998; Nachman 2002). Similarly, loci under divergent selection between ecologically distinct habitats should impede neutral gene flow more extensively in low-recombination regions (Barton & Bengtsson 1986; Feder & Nosil 2010). Finally, recombination may have direct effects on the constitution of chromosomes, for instance through biased gene conversion or mutagenesis (Galtier et al. 2001; Duret & Galtier 2009; Webster & Hurst 2012).

Despite the recognition of recombination as a major evolutionary factor, our understanding of both the mechanisms governing the process, and its consequences on genome evolution, remains highly incomplete (Nachman 2002; Smukowski & Noor 2011; Webster & Hurst 2012). Moreover, detailed investigations of recombination outside genetic model organisms are needed for the discovery of general patterns. The goal of our study is to provide the first comprehensive analysis of meiotic recombination in threespine stickleback fish (Gasterosteus aculeatus).

A thorough understanding of recombination in this powerful model organism for ecological genetics is particularly valuable for two reasons. First, the species has been shown to display a relatively young (<10 Myr old) XY (male-heterogametic) sex determination system (Peichel et al. 2004). Information on the extent of XY recombination and associated patterns of Y degeneration, however, remains highly incomplete, but promises exciting insights into sex chromosome evolution (Peichel et al. 2004; Ross & Peichel 2008; Shikano et al. 2011). Second, performing genome scans in stickleback populations residing continguously in selectively distinct lake and stream habitats, we have shown recently that population divergence ($F_{ST}$) is elevated in chromosome centres and argued that this effect is caused by a lower recombination rate within these regions (Roesti et al. 2012a). Because robust information on recombination was lacking, however, this hypothesis could not be evaluated definitively. Our study therefore combines single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data from a laboratory F$_2$ cross and natural populations to characterize the stickleback recombination landscape; to explore the role of recombination in sex chromosome evolution; to examine the relationship between recombination rate and the magnitude of divergence among and genetic diversity within populations; and to investigate the association between recombination rate and nucleotide composition.

**Materials and methods**

**Laboratory cross**

We generated an F$_2$ population for linkage map construction by artificially crossing a male and a female from the Central European ROM and CHE populations (described in Berner et al. 2010; Moser et al. 2012; Roesti et al. 2012b) in the spring 2009. The resulting F$_1$ were raised in two 50-L tanks on a mixed Artemia (live, decapsulated cysts, frozen) and bloodworm diet under ‘summer’ laboratory conditions (18–20 °C with a 16:8-h day/night photoperiod). After a ‘winter’ phase (15 °C, 8:16-h photoperiod) of 3 months, summer conditions were re-established in the spring 2010 to initiate reproduction. The F$_2$ population was generated by performing 20 artificial F$_1$ full-sib crosses, each involving a unique male–female combination. After 1 year, 282 adult F$_2$ (140 males, 142 females) were haphazardly chosen, killed with an overdose of MS-222 and stored in absolute ethanol.

**Marker generation**

DNA was extracted from pectoral fin tissue on a MagNA Pure LC278 extraction robot (Roche) by using the tissue Isolation Kit II. We then prepared restriction site-associated DNA (RAD; Baird et al. 2008) libraries, involving SbfI restriction, the fusion of 5-mer individual barcodes and pooling DNA of 62 individuals per library. The final enrichment PCR was performed in duplicate to reduce random amplification variation. Each library was single-end sequenced to 100 base reads in a separate Illumina HiSeq lane. In addition to the F$_2$ individuals, the two founder individuals of the cross were also sequenced, each twice in different libraries.

The Illumina sequences were sorted according to barcode and aligned to the stickleback reference genome (release Broad S1; Jones et al. 2012) by using Novoalign v2.07.06 (http://novocraft.com), accepting a total of approximately eight high-quality mismatches and/or indels along a read. Alignments were converted to BAM format using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). Each replicate alignment of each grandparent was then screened independently for homozygous RAD loci. A locus qualified as homozygous if it was either invariant or if the binomial probability for the two dominant haplotypes to reflect a heterozygous locus was <0.001. We here ignored loci with <12× coverage (average coverage per locus varied between 31 and 47 among the grandparents.
and replicate alignments). RAD loci proving homozygous in both replicates of a given grandparent were then screened for SNPs (here subsuming both SNPs and microindels) fixed for different alleles between the grandparents, accepting only one SNP per RAD locus. This conservative SNP detection strategy yielded a total of 2223 markers.

The F2 population was then genotyped at each SNP detected in the grandparents. We considered a SNP homozygous when only one grandparent allele was present and occurred in at least 20 copies, or heterozygous when both alleles were present in at least 20 copies each (average sequence coverage per RAD locus was 55.6 among the F2 individuals). Loci not satisfying these criteria received an ambiguous genotype based on the dominant allele or were treated as missing data when the total allele count was below six (<0.5% of all genotypes). Next, we eliminated 58 SNPs displaying clearly skewed allele frequencies across the F2 and ordered the remaining 2165 markers according to their physical position in the Broad S1 stickleback reference genome.

**Genome reassembly**

Visual inspection of the genotypes ordered according to the reference genome indicated marker intervals exhibiting extremely high crossover frequency. Without exception, these intervals coincided perfectly with scaffold boundaries, indicating genome assembly errors. This conclusion was also supported by comparing physical and genetic map positions in a low-resolution data set extracted by Roesti et al. (2012a) from genetic maps available for North American stickleback (Albert et al. 2008; Greenwood et al. 2011): markers on scaffolds found to be inverted in the current study showed opposite genetic and physical map order in the latter data set as well (details not presented).

An accurate characterization of recombination thus required genome reassembly. For this, we created de novo linkage groups in R/qtl (Broman & Sen 2009) by including markers unanchored to any linkage group in the Broad S1 genome. We used a maximum recombination frequency of 0.3 or less and a LOD score of 8 or greater and further optimized marker order along linkage groups through permutation within a sliding window of seven markers. The resulting genetic map allowed us to invert 13 total scaffolds (size range: 0.7–17.1 Mb; 98.2 Mb in total) within the known linkage groups (hereafter ‘chromosomes’) and to incorporate 18 previously unanchored scaffolds with a total length of 20.1 Mb into the chromosomes. We ignored unanchored scaffolds smaller than 140 kb, as this was below our average marker resolution. We then recalculated the physical position for every marker. These assembly corrections are described in Appendix S1 (Supporting information). All physical map positions in this study refer to our improved genome assembly, which is available in FASTA format on the Dryad digital repository (doi:10.5061/dryad.846n).

For final genetic map construction, we first corrected genotyping errors and ambiguous calls by hand, making the common and well-supported assumption that the vast majority of tight double-recombinants reflect genotyping errors. We then clipped the most peripheral marker at each chromosome end because here phase shifts were most difficult to distinguish from genotyping errors. Next, we discarded all markers not assigned to linkage groups, and one (redundant) marker in cases where two SNPs formed a pair derived from sister RAD loci (i.e. loci flanking the same Sbf1 restriction site). The final data set used for genetic mapping comprised 1872 markers (59–150 per chromosome), with an average spacing of 217 kb. The genotype data are provided as Appendix S2 (autosomes) and S3 (sex chromosome, Supporting information), and linkage map and corresponding physical map positions (the latter before and after reassembly) are listed in Appendix S4 (Supporting information). We note that this data set is expected to slightly underestimate recombination rate along chromosomes. The reason is that with our marker resolution, a few tight double-crossovers may have escaped detection altogether, and a few others may have been captured by one or two markers but taken as genotyping error and eliminated. Moreover, our markers never covered the full physical chromosome span because of the randomness of Sbf1 restriction sites; because we ignored unanchored scaffolds mapping to one or both ends of many chromosomes when these scaffolds were small and represented by only one to three markers; and because we discarded the peripheral marker on each end of the initially generated linkage groups.

**Analysis of recombination**

Genetic distances along the 20 autosomes were estimated in R/qtl using the Kosambi map function (assuming crossover interference) and the full F2 panel. For the sex chromosome (chromosome 19; Peichel et al. 2004), final map construction used genotype data from females only ($N = 142$). The reason is that sequence degeneration of the Y relative to the X chromosome precluded reliable genotyping in males (the reference sex chromosome sequence is the X). R/qtl was also used to count the number of crossovers for each individual and chromosome.

We visualized recombination rate along the chromosomes by plotting genetic distance (cM) against physical
distance (Mb). Moreover, we calculated the average recombination rate for every interval between adjacent markers as the ratio of genetic distance to physical distance (cM/Mb) and plotted this rate against the physical midpoint of the marker interval. We also calculated average recombination rate across each chromosome, and across each chromosome arm, using for the latter information on centromere positions extracted from Urton et al. (2011). Throughout this paper, effective physical chromosome (and chromosome arm) spans are defined by the position of our most peripheral markers. The only exception is Appendix S1 (Supporting information) where we show the full physical chromosome lengths.

Crossover counts were used to examine the relationship between recombination frequency and chromosome length. We here determined for each chromosome the average crossover number across the 282 F2 (or the 142 females for chromosome 19) and calculated the correlation coefficient \(r\) between this variable and chromosome length. The magnitude of this test statistic was evaluated against its empirical random distribution established by permuting the crossover data 9999 times (Manly 2007; all statistical tests in this study are based on analogous permutation tests). A similar analysis was performed by using chromosome arm length, rather than total chromosome length, as a predictor of crossover number. In this latter analysis, six chromosome arms with low marker coverage were excluded, which had a trivial influence on the results. Also, these analyses were performed with and without the sex chromosome. As this did not materially influence the results, we report the former.

Individual crossover counts across all autosomes were used to test for a difference in overall recombination rate between the sexes, using as test statistic the F-ratio of a linear model with crossover count as response and sex as fixed factor. Analogous tests were also performed to explore sex differences in crossover number for each chromosome separately. Finally, individual crossover counts were used to scan the genome (including chromosome 19) for the presence of quantitative trait loci (QTL) determining recombination rate. We emphasize that our data are not ideal for this purpose; quantifying the recombination rate. We tested the prediction of a negative genome-wide correlation between recombination rate and the magnitude of allele frequency shifts by using divergence data from two independent replicate lake-stream population pairs studied in Roesti et al. (2012a) (the Boot and Robert’s pair; see also Berner et al. 2008, 2009). These young (postglacial, <10 000 years old) population pairs are those among the four pairs investigated in Roesti et al. (2012a) displaying the strongest divergence in phenotypes and genetic markers between the selectively distinct habitats (genome-wide median \(F_{ST}\) is 0.15 and 0.03 for Boot and Robert’s; Roesti et al. 2012a). Each of the four samples was represented by 27 individuals (balanced sex ratio). Polymorphism data were generated through RAD sequencing, yielding 3930 and 7992 genome-wide SNPs for the Boot and Robert’s pair (details on library preparation, sequencing, genotyping,

Recombination and divergence within the sex chromosome

Recombination between the X and Y chromosomes was studied by determining which of the 69 SNPs along chromosome 19 occurred homozygous for the grandfather allele in F2 females. This female genotype necessarily requires XY crossover in the F1 father.

To explore degeneration of the Y chromosome, we haphazardly selected 100 males and 100 females from the F2 population. For each sex separately, we determined for every RAD locus along chromosome 19 the total sequence coverage across all individual alignments. For each RAD locus, we then calculated the ratio of female to male coverage. A RAD locus not or little differentiated between the gametologs would display an expected ratio of one because both the X and Y sequences would align to the X reference. At a locus substantially diverged between X and Y, the latter would no longer align to the reference, producing twice the sequence coverage in females relative to males. To reduce noise, we restricted this analysis to RAD loci displaying a minimal total sequence coverage of 4000 in each sex, yielding a total of 1556 informative loci along the X chromosome (average intermarker distance: 13 kb). This analysis of Y degeneration was additionally performed by using a natural population sample from Europe (CHE) and Canada (Boot Lake, see below). These populations are derived independently from Atlantic and Pacific ancestors. Because here sample size was much smaller \((N = 13-14\) per sex and population), we used a minimal sequence coverage threshold of 50 per sex.

Genetic divergence, genetic diversity and GC content in relation to recombination rate

We tested the prediction of a negative genome-wide correlation between recombination rate and the magnitude of allele frequency shifts by using divergence data from two independent replicate lake-stream population pairs studied in Roesti et al. (2012a) displaying the strongest divergence in phenotypes and genetic markers between the selectively distinct habitats (genome-wide median \(F_{ST}\) is 0.15 and 0.03 for Boot and Robert’s; Roesti et al. 2012a). Each of the four samples was represented by 27 individuals (balanced sex ratio). Polymorphism data were generated through RAD sequencing, yielding 3930 and 7992 genome-wide SNPs for the Boot and Robert’s pair (details on library preparation, sequencing, genotyping,
SNP detection and access to the raw data are given in Roesti et al. 2012a,b. The magnitude of divergence between the lake and stream population was quantified by $F_{ST}$ based on haplotype diversity (Nei & Tajima 1981; formula 7), tolerating only informative SNPs with a minor allele frequency of 0.25 or greater (Roesti et al. 1981; formula 7), tolerating only informative SNPs with a minor allele frequency of 0.25 or greater (Roesti et al. 2012b). $F_{ST}$ was then averaged across the intervals defined by adjacent markers from the mapping cross, resulting in the same resolution as our recombination rate data (see Fig. 1). This allowed us to explore the genome-wide correlation between the magnitude of divergence and recombination rate, using $r$ as statistic for significance testing.

Next, we examined the prediction of a positive correlation between recombination rate and levels of genetic diversity within each population. For this, we screened each of the four population samples separately for polymorphisms and calculated genetic diversity (haplotype diversity, Nei & Tajima 1981; singletons were omitted to exclude technical artefacts). RAD loci were allowed to contribute a single SNP only, keeping the one with the highest diversity at loci with multiple SNPs (drawing a SNP at random produced very similar results). The resulting total number of SNPs varied between 4938 and 17 649 among the populations. As a complementary analysis, we also counted the number of polymorphisms (excluding singletons) on each RAD locus, with the number of RAD loci varying between 6440 and 25 186 among the populations. We considered these data, hereafter referred to as SNP density, a valuable alternative genetic diversity metric because selection should not only skew allele frequencies in linked regions, but also reduce the density of polymorphisms in those regions. Both the genetic diversity and SNP density data were averaged to the resolution of the genetic map and tested for an association with recombination rate as described for $F_{ST}$.

Finally, we investigated a possible association between recombination rate and GC content in an analogous way. However, to maximize precision, we calculated the proportion of GC nucleotides for each marker interval based on the full reference genome sequence rather than our RAD sequences. Moreover, we here detected a clear nonlinear relationship and therefore used as test statistic the ratio of residual to total sum of squares of a nonparametric regression (LOESS—robust locally weighted scatterplot smoothing; Cleveland 1979; a linear fit with $r$ as test statistic produced similar results). We note that this analysis assumes that patterns of nucleotide composition in the reference genome, which was built based on a Pacific-derived freshwater stickleback, are also representative of Atlantic-derived European populations. This assumption is justified; repeating the correlation analysis using genome-wide GC content estimated from consensus sequences at 27 396 RAD loci derived from the cross grandmother produced similar results (details not presented).

In the above correlation analyses ($F_{ST}$, genetic diversity, SNP density, GC content), marker intervals with an extreme recombination rate (below 0.01 and above 40) were excluded, although analyses including all intervals produced very similar results. The final data sets thus comprised 1783 genome-wide marker intervals. Also, including or excluding the sex chromosome did not materially influence the analyses; we thus report the former. Apart from sequence alignment and BAM conversion, all analyses and plotting were carried out in the R language (R Development Core Team 2012), benefiting greatly from the Bioconductor packages ShortRead (Morgan et al. 2009), Rsamtools and Biostrings. Data smoothing was performed with R’s implementation of LOESS.

Results

The 21 stickleback chromosomes accounted for a total genetic map length of 1251 cm, yielding a genome-wide average recombination rate of 3.11 cm/Mb (this number is based on the total physical genome length effectively covered by our markers: 401.8 Mb). However, recombination rate proved highly heterogeneous across the genome: crossovers occurred primarily in the chromosome peripheries, with a greatly reduced rate in the chromosome centres (Fig. 1). Except for two of the smallest chromosomes (5, 21), this pattern was consistent and was particularly pronounced in the larger ones. For instance, the average recombination rate in the first and last 5 Mb of the largest chromosome (4) was 7.8 and 6.8 cm/Mb, whereas the segment ranging from 10 to 25 Mb exhibited an c. 20-fold lower rate (0.4 cm/Mb). The general pattern of periphery-biased recombination proved essentially insensitive to centromere position (e.g. compare chromosomes 7 and 8 in Fig. 1). Our data also suggested a tendency for the recombination rate to drop again right at the chromosome ends (e.g. chromosomes 1, 2, 4, 17 in, Fig. 1). Formally testing this observation, however, would have required higher-resolution data, sampling the terminal domains more densely.

Comparing mean crossover number per meiosis among chromosomes revealed a lower limit of approximately one crossover for the chromosomes at the lower end of the size range (around 15 Mb) (Fig. 2A). With increasing chromosome length, the crossover number also increased ($r = 0.92$, permutation $P = 0.0001$), with the largest chromosomes (around 30 Mb) displaying c. 1.5 crossovers per meiosis. We also found a positive
Fig. 1 Recombination along the 20 threespine stickleback autosomes (based on 1872 total markers and 282 F₂ individuals) and along the X chromosome (chromosome 19; based on 142 females). Marker number per chromosome is given in parentheses. The open circles (referring to the left axis) indicate the genetic map position of the markers in Kosambi centimorgan, plotted against their physical position in the genome (in megabases). The smaller grey dots (right axis) represent the average recombination rate in cm/Mb for the intervals defined by pairs of adjacent markers, plotted against the intervals’ physical midpoint. The grey curves show the latter data smoothed by LOESS, with a polynomial degree of one and the smoothing span decreasing from 0.33 to 0.149 from the smallest to the largest chromosome to ensure a constant smoothing resolution across the panels. Dashed vertical lines specify centromere positions. Note the striking trend towards elevated recombination rate in the peripheral chromosome regions.
association between chromosome arm length and crossover number \((r = 0.87, P = 0.0001)\) (Fig. 2B). Along the short arms of telocentric and acrocentric chromosomes, crossovers occurred rarely. These relationships caused the average recombination rate to be higher on short chromosomes and chromosome arms than on longer ones (Fig. 2C, 2D; chromosomes: \(r = -0.66, P = 0.0026\); arms: \(r = -0.76, P = 0.0001\)).

We found no indication of an overall difference in recombination rate between the sexes \((P = 0.982)\); total autosomal map length was almost identical (1190 cM) for males and females. Analysing each chromosome separately also revealed only trivial sex-related differences in recombination rate (none of them remained significant when correcting for multiple testing). We further detected no significant QTL driving overall recombination rate on any of the 21 chromosomes (maximum LOD = 2.98; \(P = 0.261\)), keeping in mind the methodological limitations mentioned above.

Recombination and degeneration along the sex chromosome

In our F$_2$ population, XY recombination never occurred beyond the marker located at 1.75 Mb (Fig. 3). We thus demonstrate the presence of a small pseudoautosomal region (PAR), spanning c. 10% of the entire X chromosome only. The comparison of female with male RAD sequence coverage along the sex chromosome clearly revealed Y degeneration outside the PAR (Fig. 3). Moreover, the extent of degeneration was not uniform outside the PAR: within the segment from c. 12 Mb to the chromosome end opposed to the PAR, Y sequences generally did not align to the X reference. By contrast, the segment ranging from c. 2 to 12 Mb showed weaker degeneration. Despite small sample size and hence more random noise, analogous analyses in the two natural populations produced very similar results supporting identical conclusions (Appendix S5, Supporting information).

Genetic divergence, genetic diversity and GC content

The prediction of a negative genome-wide association between recombination rate and $F_{ST}$ was clearly confirmed (Table 1; Fig. 4A). Shifts in allele frequencies between populations were thus greater in low-recombination regions. As expected, this effect was stronger in the Boot lake–stream pair showing greater overall divergence (and hence higher variance in $F_{ST}$) than the Robert’s pair (see Roesti et al. 2012a). The two complementary analyses of genetic diversity within populations also agreed with the prediction: all correlations were positive and generally highly significant (Table 1; Fig. 4B). Genetic diversity was thus reduced in marker intervals exhibiting a relatively low recombination rate. Finally, we found a striking positive broad-scale association between recombination rate and GC content across the genome (Fig. 5; \(P = 0.0001\)). Marker intervals showing relatively high recombination rates (around 10 cM/Mb or greater) displayed an c. 10% higher average GC content than intervals at the lower end of recombination rates (around 1 cM/Mb or lower).

Discussion

A major finding of our analysis of recombination in the threespine stickleback genome is the strong bias of crossover to occur primarily in the chromosome peripheries. This pattern confirms preliminary evidence from
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low-resolution analyses in the species (Hohenlohe et al. 2012; Roesti et al. 2012a) and is consistent with results from genome-wide recombination studies in other vertebrates (Borodin et al. 2008; Chowdhury et al. 2009; Backström et al. 2010; Wong et al. 2010; Bradley et al. 2011; Auton et al. 2012; Sandor et al. 2012; Tortereau et al. 2012), invertebrates (Rockman & Kruglyak 2009; Niehuis et al. 2010), plants (Akhunov et al. 2003; Anderson et al. 2003; but see Salomé et al. 2012) and yeast (Barton et al. 2008). This striking consistency across taxa implies a common mechanistic basis: crossovers seem to be initiated from the peripheries. Indeed, peripheral clustering of chromosomes during the meiotic prophase I is believed to play a key role in proper homolog pairing and probably also in crossover initiation (Scherthan et al. 1996; Roeder 1997; Harper et al. 2004; Brown et al. 2005; Naranjo & Corredor 2008). Peripheral crossover might also favour proper homolog dissociation (Colombo & Jones 1997; Hassold & Hunt 2001). Whatever the exact cause, the observed periphery bias in the distribution of crossovers in the stickleback genome (and many other genomes) implies strong mechanistic constraints on the distribution of recombination. Therefore, genetic information is reshuffled much more effectively in some genomic regions than in others.

Moreover, taking into account a slight underestimation of recombination (see Materials and methods), our data indicate that stickleback chromosomes display at least one crossover per meiosis. This is consistent with

---

**Table 1** Genome-wide associations between recombination rate and genetic population divergence, and between recombination rate and within-population genetic diversity. Divergence was quantified as $F_{ST}$ between the lake and stream sample within the Boot and Robert’s population pair. Genetic diversity within each of the four populations was expressed as both haplotype diversity (capturing allele frequency shifts) and the density of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) per RAD locus. All these metrics were averaged within the physical intervals defined by adjacent markers in the SNP panel used for genetic mapping ($N = 1783$ intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population(s)</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetic divergence ($F_{ST}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot lake—stream</td>
<td>−0.2699</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert’s lake—stream</td>
<td>−0.1127</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplotype diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot lake</td>
<td>0.1184</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot stream</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert’s lake</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>0.0929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert’s stream</td>
<td>0.0665</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot lake</td>
<td>0.1873</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot stream</td>
<td>0.1810</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert’s lake</td>
<td>0.2593</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert’s stream</td>
<td>0.1882</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the notion that one crossover per chromosome and meiosis is generally required for proper homolog segregation (Mather 1938; Baker et al. 1976; Roeder 1997; Smith & Nicolas 1998; Hassold & Hunt 2001), and reflects another mechanistic constraint on recombination. The widely accepted idea of one obligate crossover per chromosome arm, however, is not supported by our data (see also Borodin et al. 2008; Fledel-Alon et al. 2009): on acrocentric and telocentric stickleback chromosomes, the shorter arm rarely crosses over. We further find that the number of crossovers beyond one is a function of chromosome length. Standardized by their length, however, large chromosomes still exhibit a lower recombination rate than small chromosomes, the same also being true for chromosome arms.

Fig. 4 Genetic divergence and genetic diversity in relation to recombination rate (shown as heavy grey line referring to the right axis in both panels) in natural lake and stream stickleback populations, exemplified for the largest chromosome (4). In (A), we show the smoothed (degree = 0, span = 0.35) magnitude of lake–stream divergence ($F_{ST}$) for the Boot (black) and Robert’s (blue) population pair (for the sake of clarity, the underlying raw data points are not shown). To facilitate comparison, the data were centred to a mean of zero before smoothing. Note that divergence is greatest in the chromosome centre where recombination rate is lowest, an effect more pronounced in the Boot population pair showing much stronger overall divergence. In (B), we display smoothed genetic diversity, quantified as single nucleotide polymorphism density, for the lake (solid line) and stream (dashed line) population in the Boot (black) and Robert’s (blue) population pair (data also centred). Note the strong and consistent positive association between genetic diversity and recombination rate.

Sex chromosome evolution

Sex chromosomes are generally thought to evolve from an ordinary pair of homologous autosomes that partly stop crossing over to prevent alleles at loci with sexually antagonistic effects from recombining (Bull 1983; Charlesworth & Charlesworth 2000; Charlesworth et al. 2005; Wilson & Makova 2009). This cessation of recombination should initiate the differentiation of the gametologs. While early karyotypic investigations in threespine stickleback found no evidence of heteromorphic sex chromosomes (Chen & Reisman 1970; Cuñado et al. 2002), recent investigations have indicated reduced recombination, chromosomal rearrangements and sequence divergence between the X and Y (Peichel et al. 2004; Ross & Peichel 2008; Shikano et al. 2011; Natri et al. 2013). These observations, based on a small number of markers, are greatly refined and extended by our sex chromosome analysis. We confirm that XY recombination is restricted to a small PAR, as suggested by Ross & Peichel (2008). The requirement of at least one crossover per meiosis thus implies a very high average recombination rate ($\approx 25 \text{ cM/Mb}$) across the PAR in males. This agrees with the estimation by Peichel et al. (2004) of a much greater distance between markers lying within the PAR in male than in female genetic maps (e.g. the genetic distance in the Paxton cross between the microsatellites Stn303 and Stn186, located at 0.4 and 1.9 Mb, is 27.3 cm in females and 47.7 cm in males).

A consequence of the cessation of recombination along most of the sex chromosome is that the region on the Y outside the PAR occurs in permanently...
heterozygous state and at lower population size than the X. Both conditions are predicted to make selection on the Y less effective and hence to promote its degeneration (Felsenstein 1974; Charlesworth & Charlesworth 2000; Otto & Lenormand 2002; Charlesworth et al. 2005; Wilson & Makova 2009). Our results strongly support this view: outside the PAR, RAD loci often display only half the sequence coverage in males relative to females, consistent with substantial sequence degeneration (or loss) on the Y. Interestingly, our analysis further indicates two discrete levels of Y degeneration, with much stronger degeneration along the c. 8 Mb towards the chromosome end opposed to the PAR than along the c. 10 Mb adjacent to the PAR. Such ‘evolutionary strata’ (Lahn & Page 1999) have been found in mammals (Lahn & Page 1999; Sandstedt & Tucker 2004; Pearks Wilkerson et al. 2008), birds (Lawson Handley et al. 2004; Nam & Ellegren 2008) and plants (Bergero et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2012). To our knowledge, we here provide the first evidence for evolutionary strata in fish.

Evolutionary strata are generally taken as evidence that XY recombination ceased simultaneously across large domains of the evolving sex chromosome. An obvious way how this may happen is through chromosomal inversion. Indeed, a recent study using in situ fluorescent hybridization argued for a large pericentric inversion on the Y relative to the X, with breakpoints at c. 3 and 12 Mb (Ross & Peichel 2008). The evolutionary stratum adjacent to the PAR identified in our work matches this inversion almost perfectly and allows us to refine its physical boundaries. Threespine stickleback thus reinforce the view that recombination suppression along evolving sex chromosomes will primarily occur through inversion rather than crossover rate modifier genes (Charlesworth et al. 2005).

It would now be interesting to date the two bouts of recombination suppression underlying the evolutionary strata in the species based on sequence divergence between homologous loci on the X and Y (Lahn & Page 1999; Lawson Handley et al. 2004; Nam & Ellegren 2008; Pearks Wilkerson et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2012). We note that this might be difficult for stratum 2 if the remarkably strong degeneration detected in our analysis actually reflects deletion (Peichel et al. 2004). Clearly, however, patterns of XY divergence were already established prior to the split into Pacific and Atlantic stickleback clades (Appendix S5, Supporting information).

Consequences of heterogeneous recombination rate on genome evolution

The rate of recombination within a genomic region determines to which extent selection on a locus influences allele frequencies at neutral loci, and interferes with selection on other loci, in its physical neighbourhood (Hill & Robertson 1966; Maynard Smith & Haigh 1974; Barton & Bengtsson 1986; Kaplan et al. 1989; Begun & Aquadro 1992; Nordborg et al. 1996; Charlesworth et al. 1997; Charlesworth 1998; Nachman 2002; Feder & Nosil 2010). Several types of selection (divergent, positive and background) should therefore increase divergence among populations and reduce genetic diversity within populations in low-recombination genomic regions relative to regions where recombination rate is higher.

Consistent with these predictions, we have recently shown that the magnitude of divergence between neighbouring lake and stream stickleback populations is dramatically biased towards chromosome centres (Roesti et al. 2012a). (Note that divergence in these young populations essentially reflects differential sorting of standing variation rather than novel mutations.) Using robust recombination rate data, we here demonstrate that elevated divergence in these population pairs is related to reduced recombination. Because lake and stream stickleback occupy selectively distinct environments (Berner et al. 2008, 2009), the divergence–recombination association almost certainly arises from within-chromosome variation in hitchhiking and/or introgression.

The present study further demonstrates reduced within-population genetic diversity in the chromosome centres relative to the peripheries, resulting in a genomewide positive correlation between diversity and recombination rate. A similar correlation has previously been reported in a broad range of organisms (Begun & Aquadro 1992; Kraft et al. 1998; Nachman 2001; Tenaillon et al. 2001; Takahashi et al. 2004; Roselius et al. 2005; McGaugh et al. 2012). Given that a positive correlation between recombination rate and genetic diversity may also arise if recombination is directly mutagenic (Spencer et al. 2006; Webster & Hurst 2012, but see McGaugh et al. 2012), caution is generally warranted when inferring from the above correlation that recombination rate modulates the influence of selection on linked sites across the genome. In our lake–stream stickleback systems, however, the colocalization of elevated population divergence and reduced genetic diversity within young populations residing in selectively distinct environments provides clear support for such an indirect influence of recombination on genome evolution (see also Stoelting et al. 2013). The precise selective processes driving these patterns, however, remain to be elucidated.

In addition to these indirect (selective) effects, our study perhaps also points to a direct effect of recombination on stickleback genome evolution: large-scale bias in nucleotide composition. Across the genome, GC content is higher in regions displaying relatively elevated
recombination rate—that is, in the chromosome peripheries. Interestingly, the positive association between GC content and recombination rate seems as widespread as periphery bias in recombination rate; it has been reported in mammals (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004; Spencer et al. 2006; Duret & Arndt 2008; Auton et al. 2012; Tortereau et al. 2012), birds (ICGSC 2004; Backström et al. 2010), insects (Niehuis et al. 2010; Stevison & Noor 2010; but see Comeron et al. 2012), plants (Muyle et al. 2011) and yeast (Gerton et al. 2000; Birdsell 2002). As hypothesized in other organisms, elevated GC content in the stickleback genome might represent a direct causal consequence of elevated recombination rate, given evidence of GC bias in the machinery correcting nucleotide mismatch in heteroduplex DNA formed during crossover initiation (GC-biased gene conversion; Brown & Jiricny 1987; Bill et al. 1998; Gallier et al. 2001; Birdsell 2002; Meunier & Duret 2004; Mancera et al. 2008; Duret & Gallier 2009; Muyle et al. 2011). Our correlational data, however, cannot address this causal hypothesis conclusively; direct experimental evidence is needed.

Methodological implications

In addition to the above influences on genome evolution, heterogeneous recombination rate within the genome has important methodological implications. Marker-based genome scans searching for signatures of divergent selection in the form of locally elevated divergence between ecologically distinct populations (Lewontin & Krakauer 1973; Beaumont & Nichols 1996; Luikart et al. 2003; Beaumont 2005; Nielsen 2005; Storz 2005) are becoming commonplace. What is generally ignored is that the distortion between physical and genetic maps will dilute the link between the selection coefficient on a locus and the magnitude of hitchhiking produced in its neutral neighbourhood (Roesti et al. 2012a; this study). In other words, a locus under selection is more likely to be detected when located in a low-recombination region where hitchhiking is more extensive. This bias should increase with decreasing marker resolution and with increasing sliding window size. The generality of chromosome periphery-biased recombination rate across taxa therefore raises a potential caveat to the interpretation of differentiation outliers in genome scans when combined physical and genetic map information is missing (i.e. ‘anonymous’ approaches; for one strategy to alleviate this difficulty when a physical map is available, see Roesti et al. 2012a). An analogous issue arises when interpreting the number and effect size of mapped QTL: within low-recombination regions, multiple loci of small effect are more likely to emerge as a single large-effect locus (Noor et al. 2001).

Finally, our study highlights the need for a reliably assembled genome for investigations of recombination and linkage. Assembly errors will inflate the genome-wide average crossover frequency, distort the recombination landscape and bias analyses of linkage disequilibrium along chromosomes. For instance, we find that a high-recombination island on chromosome 4 inferred in Hohenlohe et al. (2012; Fig. 2b in that study) coincides with the boundary of a scaffold anchored in the wrong sense within that chromosome (see our Appendix S1, Supporting information) and hence represents an artefact. The same assembly error also mimics long-distance linkage disequilibrium along this chromosome (Fig. 4a in Hohenlohe et al. 2012).

To summarize, our analysis of recombination in three-spine stickleback indicates strong constraints on the frequency and location of crossovers imposed by the mechanistic requirements of meiosis. At the same time, we demonstrate that recombination influences the genome profoundly, both by modulating the consequences of selection across the genome and perhaps by directly influencing nucleotide composition. We anticipate that our characterization of the recombination landscape will facilitate interpretations of genome scans and QTL mapping in the species, promote further investigations on sex chromosome evolution and pave the way for more detailed investigations of the determinants and consequences of recombination.
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